Imran Sabri [2026]. International Journal of Medical Justice, IJMJ,
Volume 4, Issue 1: January-June 2026 [E-ISSN: 2583-7958]
International ISSN [CIEPS]: 3006-208X[Print] 3006-2098[Online]
Content list Available at ijmj.net
International Journal of Medical Justice
Journal Homepage: https://www.ijmj.net

Editorial:
The Widening Chasm Between Scientific Progress and Public Understanding

Imran Sabri*, Richa Gupta**

Affiliations:

*Faculty Member, Division of Forensic Medicine, Department of Biomedical Sciences, College of Medicine, King Faisal University, Al-Ahsa, Saudi Arabia

******Associate Professor, Sarojini Naidu Medical College Agra.

Article History:

Date of Submission: Sunday May 10, 2026.

Date of Acceptance: Wednesday May 13, 2026

Date of Publication: Friday May 22, 2026.

Digital Object Identifier **[DOI]: 10.5281/zenodo.20316558**

Available Online: Friday May 15, 2026

Website Archive: https://www.ijmj.net/archive/2026/1/editorial-v4n1.pdf

Despite remarkable advances in medicine and science, public awareness about health and science is not keeping pace with the knowledge being produced. The author of these editorial notices that the gap is widening as time passes. The main idea of this digital age is that society has never had greater access to information yet remains deeply confused about how to interpret and apply it. There are three interconnected failures driving this crisis: ineffective communication by scientists and medical professionals, the algorithmic amplification of misinformation on digital platforms, and chronic underinvestment in health and scientific literacy education.

The assumption that more information leads to greater understanding does not hold in the digital environment. Health literacy remains inadequate globally whether it is developed or developing nation. Common social media platforms are architected to maximize engagement, not accuracy, and they systematically reward content that triggers strong emotional reactions and may hardly matters usefulness of information's to the audiences. Scientific communication is poorly suited to this environment. In its place, simplified, emotionally compelling, and often misleading health claims spread much faster and

people least bothered to verify the information or knowledge they are forwarding or communicating.

The erosion of medical authority in digital spaces carries serious consequences. During the COVID-19 pandemic, online misinformation was directly linked to vaccine hesitancy and refusal. Patients increasingly arrive at clinical consultations with firmly held beliefs shaped by unverified information about their medical illnesses available freely over internet The editorial acknowledges that some public skepticism toward medical institutions is historically grounded and sometimes rooted in unethical research, conflicts of interest, and systemic inequities — but argues that digital ecosystems have exploited and amplified this skepticism beyond what is warranted, leaving ordinary individuals without reliable tools to navigate competing health claims.

A central and perhaps uncomfortable argument of the editorial is that the scientific and medical communities themselves bear significant responsibility for this emerging crisis. Medical training has prioritized technical expertise and academic productivity while treating public communication skills are neglected in medical curricula. Scientists are sometimes rewarded for publications and grants, not for improving public understanding, moreover the peer competition may sometimes compromise the productivity or output to public. The result is that scientific truth is largely confined to academic circles while misinformation fills most of the vacuum. It is recommended that scientists and clinicians must become active participants in public communications.

To address these issues, it is suggested that scientific communication should be embedded as a core competency in medical and science graduates, covering plain-language translation, risk communication, and an understanding of how algorithms shape information exposure. All funded research should be required to include validated plain-language summaries accessible to public. Medical institutions should provide structured training support for clinicians and scientists who engage responsibly on social media, since expert silence in digital spaces effectively cedes the floor to misinformation. Academic incentive structures should be reformed so that public engagement carries weight alongside traditional measures of scholarly achievement such as publications and citations. Medical organizations should also establish rapid response systems to counter emerging false health claims in real time.

At the population level, sustained investment in health literacy is need of the hour. This requires creating a community-based health education initiatives mainly focusing interventions on the most

impacted groups, and inducting critical thinking and source assessment at school level. The editorial urges the legal recognition of health awareness as a factor of health in the same manner as housing, diet, and care assessment any other basic human right.

The increasing gap between scientific advancement and public awareness represents one of the most urgent challenges of this century. Although medical and scientific knowledge continues to advance at an unprecedented pace, society's ability to interpret, evaluate, and apply this knowledge needs improvement , increasingly shaped by misinformation, algorithm-driven content amplification, and insufficient health awareness. The present crisis is not solely the result of public misunderstanding but also reflects the failure of scientific and medical communities to communicate effectively beyond academic boundaries. Addressing this challenge requires a fundamental reimagining of science communication as a core professional responsibility, supported by reforms in medical education, academic incentives, and institutional accountability. Evidently sustained investment in public health training, critical thinking education plan, and community engagement must be recognized as one of the essential determinants of health literacy. The divide between scientific truth and public belief will continue to widen, undermining trust in medicine, weakening public health responses, and compromising society's ability to make informed decisions in an increasingly complex information landscape.

Dr Imran Sabri
Editor, IJMJ
Faculty Member,
Division of Forensic Medicine,
College of Medicine King Faisal University, Al-Ahsa, Saudi Arabia
Email: ikhan@kfu.edu.sa
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8754-0450
Email: editor@ijmj.net